UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Mary F. Lindell,	Civil Action No.
Plaintiff,	
VS.	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc.,	JURY TRIAL
Defendant.	

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for damages brought by Plaintiff Mary F. Lindell, an individual consumer, against Defendant for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. 227 et seq.

JURISDICTION

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 3. This action arises out of the Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA").
- 4. This action arises out of the Defendant's violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. (hereinafter "TCPA").
- Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here,
 Plaintiff resides here, and Defendant transacts business here.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Mary F. Lindell (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a natural person residing in the County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant, Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant"), is a Domestic Business Corporation, organized under the Laws of Minnesota, operating from an address of: 600 Coon Rapids Blvd, Coon Rapids, MN 55434.
- 8. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation with TRIA Orthopedic Center, which is a creditor as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
- 10. The alleged obligation was primarily for personal, family or household purposes, which is an alleged "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C § 1692a(5), namely a consumer debt.
- 11. Sometime on or before October 21, 2014, the alleged debt was consigned, placed, sold, assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.

 See EXHIBIT 1.

- 12. On or about November 12, 2014, Plaintiff's counsel sent Defendant a letter by mail indicating that he had been retained to represent Plaintiff with regards to the TRIA Orthopedic Center account, that Plaintiff disputes the debt, and was requesting validation. See **EXHIBIT** 2.
- 13. This November 12 letter was sent by certified mail. See **EXHIBIT** 3.
- 14. The November 12 letter was received by Defendant on November 14, 2014 and signed for by "J. Haynes." See **EXHIBIT** 4.
- 15. On February 17, 2015, Plaintiff was contacted by Defendant on her cellular telephone and left a voice message. This voice messages was saved and recorded.
- 16. On February 24, 2015, Plaintiff was contacted by Defendant on her cellular telephone and left a voice message. This voice messages was saved, recorded and transcribed:

Hi this is Diversified Adjustment Service Incorporated, this is communication from a debt collector, please return our call. My phone number is 763-783-2386. Commination from a debt collector, please return our call. Thank you. Bye-bye.

- 17. On March 6, 2015, Plaintiff was contacted by Defendant on her cellular telephone and left a voice message. This voice messages was saved and recorded.
- 18. By calling Plaintiff directly, Defendant violated the FDCPA by communicating with a consumer who Defendant knew was represented by an attorney. 15 U.S.C § 1692c(a)2.
- 19. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A) by calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system.

- 21. Plaintiff has incurred actual damages in the forms of nervousness, fear of answering the phone, headaches, as well as, other forms of emotional distress.
- 22. Plaintiff has incurred actual damages under the FDCPA in the form of out-of-pocket expenses, such as, attorney's fees, as a result of Defendant's acts and omissions.

Respondeat Superior Liability

- 23. The acts and omissions of Defendant's employees who were employed as agents by Defendant, and who communicated with Plaintiff as more fully described herein, were committed within the time and space limits of their agency relationship with their principal, Defendant.
- 24. The acts and omissions by Defendant's employees were incidental to, or of the same general nature as, the responsibilities the agents were authorized to perform by Defendant in collecting consumer debts.
- 25. By committing these acts and omissions against Plaintiff, Defendant's employees were motivated to benefit their principal, Defendant.
- 26. Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff through the Doctrine of Respondent Superior for the intentional and negligent acts, errors, and omissions done in violation of state and federal law by its collection employees, including but not limited to violations of the FDCPA and Minnesota law, in its attempts to collect this alleged debt from Plaintiff.

TRIAL BY JURY

27. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury. US CONST. Amend. 7. FED. R. CIV. P. 38.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692 ET SEQ.

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every above stated allegation as though fully stated herein.
- 29. The foregoing acts of the Defendant constitute distinct violations of the FDCPA against the Plaintiff herein, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C § 1692 et. seq.
- 30. As a result of said violations, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in the form of nervousness, fear of answering the phone, headaches, as well as, other forms of emotional distress, amongst other negative emotions, and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) of the FDCPA.
- 31. As a result of said violations, Plaintiff has incurred out-of-pocket expenses, and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) of the FDCPA.
- 32. As a result of said violations, Plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages of \$1,000.00 from Defendant, and for Plaintiff's attorney fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3).

COUNT II.

VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227, ET SEQ.

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every above stated allegation as though fully stated herein.
- 34. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant used, controlled and or operated "automatic telephone dialing systems" as defined by § 227(a)(1) of the TCPA.
- 35. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court enter the following judgment, in Plaintiff's favor:

COUNT I: FDCPA VIOLATIONS

- For declaratory that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and injunctive relief;
- For an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the Plaintiff herein, for violations of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A), against Defendant;

- For an award of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3), against Defendant, for Plaintiff herein;
- For an award of actual damages, costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1) against Defendant herein in an amount to be determined at trial; and
- For such other and further relief as may deem just and proper.

COUNT II: TCPA VIOLATIONS

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(1), Plaintiff is entitled to and request \$500 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B).
- Any and all other relief that the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: March 16, 2015. MARSO & MICHELSON, P.A.

By: s/Blake R. Bauer

Blake R. Bauer (Bar No: 0396262) Marcus J. Hinnenthal (Bar No: 0386756)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Marso & Michelson, P.A.
3101 Irving Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Telephone: 612-821-4817

Fax: 612-821-4826

Email: blake.bauer@outlook.com

Email: mhinnenthal@marsomichelson.com

7